National Research Council of Italy Marine Technology Research Institute CCNC - INSEAN



Quando il vento soffia più forte: l'impatto dei cambiamenti climatici sul trasporto marittimo Emilio F. Campana La risposta della ricerca CNR alle sfide ambientali – Roma 21 Dicembre 2015





Marine transport: relevant facts

Shipping and World Trade

About 90% of world trade is carried by the international shipping industry. Without shipping, the import/export of affordable food and goods would not be possible - half the world would starve and the other half would freeze!

Safety and Regulation

Shipping is regulated globally by the International Maritime Organization (IMO). The harsh nature of the sea exposes ships to considerable physical risk, so a total commitment to safety pervades all deep sea shipping operations.

Environmental Performance

Shipping is the least environmentally damaging form of commercial transport and, compared with land based industry, is a comparatively minor contributor to marine pollution from human activities.



level of CO2 emissions (grams per ton/kilometer) that emanate from the freight modes – truck, shipping and air.



The future of shipping, global trend 2050: Climate change and Environment (DNV-GL report 2014)

According to OECD (*OCSE*), pressures on the environment from population growth and rising living standards will outpace progress in pollution abatement and resource efficiency. Already, signs of climate change, a growing scarcity of natural resources and threats to the environment have resulted in a renewed focus on environmental sustainability.

- A more hostile natural environment: Assuming GHG emissions continue to drive global temperature upward, we can predict <u>rising sea levels, sea states, increased</u> <u>frequency and severity of storm surges</u>, etc.
- Strained resources: Climate change will affect (varying by region) the availability of food, water and energy, with <u>relevant shift in production sites/trade patterns.</u>
- Pollution and public health: Air pollution will become the world's top environmental cause of premature mortality, overtaking lack of sanitation or dirty water. Particulate matter (as a result of power generation and transport) will rise in concentration. <u>Climate changes will also create new social and economic tension</u> <u>and competition for resources.</u>



DNV·G

Reduction of shipping footprint Arctic shipping New cargoes and trade patterns Climate change adaptation:

Ship, ports and yards are all vulnerable to climate change. The expected shift in wave patterns, increased wave heights, and more severe weather conditions will call for improved design and operational safety standards. Increased intensity of wind speed, storms and storm surges represent risks to yards and port infrastructures and operation.





Structure: material failure under unexpected loads, fatigue, collisions during operations, etc.

<u>Hydrodynamics</u>: loss of stability (capsize, broaching), liquid cargo motions, etc.

Longer routes



Safety

Added resistance in waves

Mathematical complexity

- Highly non linear phenomena
- Uncertainties in the operating environment

High-Fidelity solvers (e.g. URANS, DES, LES) and Stochastic Optimization

- Potential flow solvers might show good prediction versus the experiments, but are unable to distinguish among design alternatives

- Failure of deterministic designs in off-design conditions

Simpler tools will probably give the wrong answer, as well as the wrong design trend





3D potential code results are not too far from the experiment. But, 3D potential code cannot show the difference between two hulls in terms of added resistance

Results using CFD code give similar trend with experiment. They are smaller than experiments in all wave length but CFD can show somewhat the difference of added resistance between two bows

<u>Structure</u>: material failure under unexpected loads, fatigue, collisions during operations, etc.

<u>Hydrodynamics</u>: loss of stability (capsize, broaching), liquid cargo motions, etc.

Longer routes



Safety

Added resistance in waves





HAVE A SAFE DAY 111

-100 + FEET

65 FEET

PICTURE TAKEN SECONDS BEFORE SMASHING INTO PORT SADE, SHATTERNIG 2" THICK GLASS PORTHOLE LOUNGE.

S/R PUGET SOUND OCT 10, 2001 NORTH BOUND TO VALUEZ, ALASKA. WIND 88 KNOTS, PROP 65 RPM'S, HARD LEFT RUDDER FOR 7 HOURS. SHIP STILL MONING "BACKWARDS". ALL THE BEST,

RECONDAN























Stochastic Simulation-Based Design Optimization

Accurate simulations



High Performance Computing





Dynamic Metamodels





DEPARTMENT OF THE NAM

cience & Technol

algorithms



tarhoar





						Delft Catamaran L = 100m Sea state 5 Fr = 0.425	Solution of problems: co complexity
		Time (ULL) 1	∧ ^	16	Pressure distribution	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	Optimization a significant for a The average im
	12	Time (t U/L)	4	¹⁶ Opt. problem	Туре	Bottom View Objectives design conditions	Stochastic distributions for design conditions
Complexity	stochastic deterministic			Problem #1	Multi- objective,	$F_1 = EV(R_T) \text{ in head wave}$ $F_2 = O\% \text{ (operability)}$ variable Fr (0.115 <fr<0.575) and="" each="" for="" frequency="" is="" sea="" state,="" state;="" td="" the="" variable<="" wave=""><td> Fr: uniform wave frequency: based on Bretschneider spectrum (assessed by 1D UQ model) sea state: as per North Pacific data </td></fr<0.575)>	 Fr: uniform wave frequency: based on Bretschneider spectrum (assessed by 1D UQ model) sea state: as per North Pacific data
		waves		Problem #2	stochastic	F_1 = mean R_T in head wave F_2 = SMF based on SSAs Fr = 0.425, sea state 5 with variable wave frequency	- wave frequency: based on Bretschneider spectrum (assessed by 1D UQ model)
				Problem #3	Multi- objective, deterministic	$F_1 = mean R_T in head wave$ $F_2 = SMF based on SSAs$ $Fr = 0.425, deterministic wave$ representative of sea state 5	n.a.
	nistic	calm		Problem #4	Single- objective, deterministic	$F_1 = R_T \text{ in calm-water}$ Fr = 0.5	n.a.

Speed 4x

0.02 Heave (z/L)

Pitch [deg]

COLLIDIATIO

hierarchical omputational Ritmare

- achievements are all problems
- nprovement is 26.1%

	Bottom View Objectives design conditions	Stochastic distributions for design conditions	Optimization achievements (best design for each problem)		Computational cost
			ΔF_1 [%]	ΔF_2 [%]	
	$F_1 = EV(R_T)$ in head wave $F_2 = O\%$ (operability) variable Fr (0.115 <fr<0.575) and sea state; for each sea state, the wave frequency is variable $F_2 = meen P_1$ in head wave</fr<0.575) 	 Fr: uniform wave frequency: based on Bretschneider spectrum (assessed by 1D UQ model) sea state: as per North Pacific data 	-11.8	-5.70	1.5M CPU h 171 years
	F_1 = mean R_T in head wave F_2 = SMF based on SSAs Fr = 0.425, sea state 5 with variable wave frequency	- wave frequency: based on Bretschneider spectrum (assessed by 1D UQ model)	-23.9	-83.6	960k CPU h <i>100 years</i>
с	F_1 = mean R_T in head wave F_2 = SMF based on SSAs Fr = 0.425, deterministic wave representative of sea state 5	n.a.	-26.0	-22.3	100k CPU h <i>11 years</i>
	$F_1 = R_T$ in calm-water Fr = 0.5	n.a.	-9.63	-	100k CPU h <i>11 years</i>

Acknowledgements and partners

